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## ABSTRACT

The karyological analysis of the fish Synodontis schall illustrated that they have diploid number of chromosomes $(2 n=56)$ and $F N=$ 112. The chromosome complement consists of four median centric ( m ) and 24 submedian centric chromosomes (sm). The electrophoretis analysis for Synodontis schall illustrated the presence of 16 fractions in their serum proteinograms and only 14 fractions in their muscle proteinograms.

## INTRODUCTION

The Nile fish Synodontis schall (Family: Mochokidae, Order: Siluriformes) is well distributed in the Egyptian inland waters especially in the River Nile and its main branches (Boulanger, 1907). In spite of their fast growing and big size Synodontis schall is not used in the Egyptian fish farming system due to many difficulties met with their reproduction and farming. Recently, many efforts have been made to study fish chromosomes. Chromosomal analysis (especially in fish) can be useful for species identification and addressing a variety of evolutionary and genetic questions about fish (Kligerman \& Bloom, 1977 and Fitzsimans et al.: 1988).

The present work aimed to study the karyottpe of Synodontis sceall Also, to study their serum and muscle electrophoretic proteinograms.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present work, twenty healthy individuals of Synodontis sciall were stocked in aquaria supplied continuously with dechlorinized tap weser every other day. They were fed twice per day for two weeks before scarifici-y.

Chromosomes were prepared according to kligerman and bloom (:77) with some modifications the fish were injected intraperitoneally w-h $0.5 \%$ colchicine solution ( $0.01 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{lg}$ body weight of fish), put in we aerated aquarium and density fed. After 3 hours, the fish were sacrificed, heai kidneys were taken and minced in a hypotonic solution ( $0.56 \% \mathrm{KCD}$ ). The s-spension was left for 30 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and centrifuged at 800 rmp . The supertitant was then removed and the cells were resuspended by adding ices fixative ( 3 methanol: 1 glacial acetic acid) dropwise. This step was repeated tr:ee times. The suspended cells in few fixative were smeared on clean slides acd left to dry. The prepared slides were stained in $15 \%$ Giemsa stain soluti:3 for 45 minutes.

Nomenclature of the chromosomes for centromeric position was :alculated according to Levan et. al. (1964) from the arm ratio which is the ranc between the long arm (I) and the short arm ( S ): $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{S}$ as follows:

* 1 to less than 1.7 median centromeric chromosome (m).
* 1.7 to less than 3 submedian centromeric chromosome (sm).
* 3 to less than 7 subterminal centromeric chromosome (st).

For calculating the fundamental number (FN) (total number of the srincipal chromosomal arms), median and submedian chromosomes were cons: dered as biarmed.

For electrophoretic investigations, serum and muscle proteins of the same specimens of Synodontis schall were analysed electrophoretically using disc electrophoresis of $7.5 \%$ (Herzberg \& Pasteur, 1975). The gels were sained in Amidoblack 10B, destained in $7 \%$ acetic acid and scanned using densitometer.

## RESULTS

Table (1) and Figure (1A \& b) show that Synodontis schall have the diploid number of chromosomes of $2 n=56$. The arm ratio of the chromosome ranged between 1.292 to 2.410 . Therefore, the chromosomal types are in the range of the median ( m ) and submedian (sm) types. The karyotype of the diploid chromosomal set 28 pairs of Synodontis schall is four pairs median centromeric (m) chromosomes (No. 2, 26 \& 27) and 24 pairs submedian centromeric (sm) chromosomes (No.: 1.3-18.20-25\&28). So, the chromosomal fundamental number ( FN ) is 112

On the other hand, the mean total length, of the chromosomes ranged between $7.55=0.800 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $15.940=2.690 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ While, the total mean lengths of the basic set of chromosomes is 308.93 um .

The biochemical electrophoretic analysis for serum protein of Synodontis schall showed 16 fractions in their serum proteinograms, but only 14 fractions were observed in the muscle (myogen) proteinograms, (Table 2 and Fig. 2A \& B).

## DISCUSSION

The freshwater fish Synodontis schall is a-popular fish with the majority of the Egyptian people espcially those living around the River Nile, its main branches and lake Nasser. Synodontis schall fish represent a considerable part of the total catch of the River Nile, its main branches and lake Nasser but is not found in the fish ponds or shallow water bodies. This may be due to its special habits in reproduction and growing.

The present work is the first to report on the karyotype and proteingrams of Synodontis schall. The diploid chromosome complement is $56(2 n=56)$ and chromomal arm numbers (FN) are 112 . The chromosomal arm ratios ranged between 1.292-2.410. Therefore, the chromosomes are in the range of median (m) and submedian (sm) centromertic positions (Levan et al.. 1964). Also, the
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Table (1) : Range, mean and SD (in micron) for the short arm, long arm and mean total lengths of the haploid set of chromosomes for Synodontis schall

| Chromosome No. | Synodontis shall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short arm |  | Long amm |  | Mean total |  | Arm ratio | Type |
|  | Range $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean + SD } \\ \mu \mathrm{m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Range Hm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean }+ \text { SD } \\ \mu \mathrm{m} \end{gathered}$ | Range <br> $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean + SD } \\ \mu \mathrm{m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1 | 3.150-9.900 | $4.890 \pm 1.970$ | 8.780-17.30 | $10.87 \pm 2.680$ | 15.40-22.20 | $15.940 \pm 2690$ | 2.223 | sm |
| 2 | 3.150-9.900 | $5.330 \pm 2.050$ | 5.400-16.20 | $9.080 \pm 2.360$ | 14.20-21.20 | $14.510 \pm 2300$ | 1.705 | m |
| 3 | 2.480-8.100 | $4.430 \pm 1.500$ | B.100-14.90 | $9.510 \pm 1.930$ | 13.50-20.00 | $14.010 \pm 2.230$ | 2.184 | sm |
| 4 | 2.700-7.650 | $4.720 \pm 1.520$ | 7.200-14.90 | $8.560 \pm 2.220$ | 21.90-91.10 | $13.360 \pm 2150$ | 1.815 | sm |
| 5 | 3.600-7.200 | $4.740 \pm 1.000$ | 6.750-11.90 | $8.060 \pm 1.540$ | 12.70-17.30 | $12.360 \pm 4.750$ | 1.702 | sm |
| 6 | 3.150-7.650 | $4.490 \pm 1.110$ | 6.750 - 11.50 | $7.970 \pm 1.450$ | 12.60-16.50 | $12.500 \pm$ : 530 | 1.775 | $m$ |
| 7 | 2.480-8.550 | $4.150 \pm 1.410$ | 6.750-12.20 | $8.050 \pm 1.680$ | 12.20-16.30 | $12.210 \pm 4.560$ | 1.941 | sm |
| 8 | 2.700-6.980 | $4.080 \pm 1.120$ | 6.750-12.40 | $7.910 \pm 1.640$ | 12.20-16.10 | $12.020 \pm 1.500$ | 1.938 | m |
| 9 | 2.930-5.400 | $3.640 \pm 0.880$ | 7.200-11.90 | $8.140 \pm 1.440$ | 11.90-16.00 | $11.800 \pm 4.520$ | 2.238 | m |
| 10 | 2.930-6.300 | $4.140 \pm 1.010$ | 6.750-11.70 | $7.380 \pm 1.380$ | 11.60-15.50 | 11.540 = 420 | 1.785 | sm |
| 11 | 2.700-5.400 | $3.350 \pm 0.880$ | $6.080 \cdot 12.40$ | $8.060 \pm 1.470$ | 11.50-15.20 | $11.430=1.350$ | 2.410 | sm |
| 12 | 2.250-6.750 | $3.890 \pm 1.150$ | $6.300 \cdot 10.40$ | $7.260 \pm 1.220$ | 11.40-14.90 | $11.150 \pm 1.280$ | 1.867 | sm |
| 13 | 2.480-6.300 | $3.760 \pm 0.990$ | $6.300-11.70$ | $7.200 \pm 1.210$ | 11.30-14.30 | $10.980 \pm$ : 150 | 1.913 | sm |
| 14 | 1.800-8.100 | $4.040 \pm 1.520$ | $6.530 \cdot 10.60$ | $7.040 \pm 1.040$ | 11.30-14.40 | $11.100 \pm 1.310$ | 1.743 | sm |
| 15 | 2.480-6.300 | $3.340 \pm 1.030$ | 6.300-10.60 | $7.450 \pm 1.160$ | 11.10-14.00 | $10.800 \pm i .580$ | 2.232 | sm |
| 16 | 2.250-6.750 | $3.770 \pm 1.090$ | 5.850-9.230 | $6.910 \pm 0.960$ | 10.80-14.00 | $10.710 \pm 1 \mathrm{i} 30$ | 1.831 | sm |
| 17 | 0.610-6.080 | $3.490 \pm 1.300$ | 5.850-9.230 | $6.650 \pm 1.130$ | 10.70-13.70 | $10.150 \pm 1.110$ | 1.506 | sm |
| 18 | 2.480-5.400 | $3.390 \pm 0.900$ | 5 530-11.30 | $6.790 \pm 1.600$ | 10.50-13.60 | $10.220 \pm 1!90$ | 2.003 | sm |
| 19 | 2.700-4.500 | $3.700 \pm 0.700$ | 5.630-9.680 | $6.260 \pm 1.180$ | 10.40-13.20 | $9.9800 \pm 1.030$ | 1.693 | m |
| 20 | 1.800-5.400 | $3.390 \pm 0.940$ | 5.850-9.680 | $6.470 \pm 1.110$ | 10.20-12.90 | $9.8800 \pm 0.990$ | 1.909 | 5 m |
| 21 | 1.580-5.400 | $3.160 \pm 1.000$ | 5.180-10.50 | $6.550 \pm 1.250$ | 10.10-12.80 | $9.7300 \pm 0.990$ | 2.072 | sm |
| 22 | 2.030-5.400 | $3.170 \pm 0.990$ | 5.180-8.330 | $6.310 \pm 0.980$ | 9.790-12.60 | $9.4900 \pm 1.210$ | 1.989 | sm |
| 23 | 1.350-5.400 | $3.350 \pm 0.960$ | 5.400-8.550 | $5.860 \pm 1.020$ | 9.680-12.40 | $9.2200 \pm 0.950$ | 1.753 | sm |
| 24 | 1.350-4.950 | $3.080 \pm 1.040$ | 4.730-9.450 | $5.790 \pm 1.310$ | 9.110-12.20 | $8.8600 \pm 1.010$ | 1.879 | m |
| 25 | 1.350-5.400 | $2.770 \pm 1.010$ | 4.950-9.900 | $5.950 \pm 1.200$ | 8.780-11.80 | $8.7100 \pm 0.990$ | 2.144 | sm |
| 26 | 2.030-5.400 | $3.230 \pm 0.990$ | 4.280-7.880 | $5.170 \pm 0.970$ | 8.440-11.70 | $8.4700=1.030$ | 1.602 | m |
| 27. | 2.250-31.70 | $3.980 \pm 6.110$ | 4.280-7.430 | $5.150 \pm 0.920$ | 7.880-23.00 | $9.2500 \pm 4.490$ | 1.292 | m |
| 28 | $2.030-3.600$ | $2.350 \pm 0.520$ | 4.500-8.100 | $5.320 \pm 1.220$ | 7.850-10.10 | $7.5500 \pm 0.800$ | 2.261 | sm |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 308.93 |  |  |
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|  | $K K$ | $x x$ | $x \times$ | $+x$ | $x x$ | $x x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -17 | 18 | 10 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Xx $\times x \times \times \times x$
$25 \quad 2627$

Fig. (1) : Metaphase stage of the chromosomes of Synodontis schall

$$
\text { A-metaphase } \quad \text { B- Karyptype }
$$

Table (2): Relative mobility and relative area for serum and muscle proteinograms of Symodontis schall

|  |  | Synodontis schall |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fractions |  | Serum |  | Muscle |  |
| No. | Mean + SD | Mobility | Area | Mobility | Area |
| 1 | XI | 100 | 4.8 | 100 | 7.4 |
|  | + SD | 0 | 0.82 | 0 | 1.22 |
| 2 | XI | 92.0 | 5.9 | 83.3 | 3.6 |
|  | + SD | 2.56 | 1.12 | 3.15 | 0.89 |
| 3 | XI | 87.8 | 8.0 | 76.8 | 4.8 |
|  | + SD | 3.21 | 1.56 | 4.51 | 0.94 |
| 4 | XI | 85 | 4.6 | 70.3 | 4.3 |
|  | + SD | 2.54 | 1.11 | 2.89 | 1.12 |
| 5 | XI | 81.5 | 4.1 | 68.9 | 11.6 |
|  | + SD | 2.89 | 0.56 | 4.53 | 2.11 |
| 6 | X | 78.4 | 8.3 | 63.5 | 17.1 |
|  | + SD | 4.12 | 1.47 | 3.45 | 3.12 |
| 7 | XI | 66.2 | 2.8 | 58.7 | 1.6 |
|  | + SD | 2.98 | 0.25 | 2.65 | 0.25 |
| 8 | XI | 59.6 | 12.3 | 55.3 | 2.1 |
|  | + SD | 4.15 | 3.15 | 3.41 | 0.56 |
| 9 | XI | 45.3 | 6.5 | 48.1 | 3.4 |
|  | + SD | 3.13 | 1.23 | 2.89 | 1.89 |
| 10 | X | 41.8 | 5.6 | 40.6 | 3.4 |
|  | + SD | 2.39 | 1.11 | 3.48 | 1.11 |
| 11 | XI | 38.7 | 4.8 | 37.2 | 15.8 |
|  | + SD | 4.12 | 0.85 | 4.45 | 2.89 |
| 12 | XI | 35.5 | 3.8 | 32.1 | 10.6 |
|  | + SD | 1.38 | 1.21 | 3.12 | 3.14 |
| 13 | XI | 32.8 | 5.3 | 25.9 | 7.2 |
|  | + SD | 254 | 0.97 | 1.78 | 2.56 |
| 14 | XI | 29.3 | 10.4 | 1.64 | 7.2 |
|  | + SD | 2.56 | 3.11 | 258 | 2.67 |
| 15 | X | 25.4 | 5.3 |  |  |
|  | + SD | 3.11 | 1.22 |  |  |
| 16 | XI | 22.6 | 7.6 |  |  |
|  | + SD | 2.31 | 1.91 |  |  |

mean total lengths of chromosomes ranged between $7.550=0.800 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ $-15940=2090 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and the total mean length of the haploid set is $308.93 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

On the other hand. the biochemical electrophoretic investigation shows that the serum protein grams of Synodontis schall have 16 fractions and 147 ones only for the muscle proteinograms.

The present work is in great similarity with those obtained from Clarias lazera (now Clarias gariepinus) either for chromosomes or for serum and muscle proteinogams (Badaws. 1998: Badawy \& El-Serafy. 1998; El-Serafy \& Badawy: 1998).

Also, there is a great similarity between the present results and those reported by Legande (1981) who noted that a diploid chromosome number of $56 \pm 2$ was wide spread among 70 species of cat fishes in ten siluroid families and was especially frequent in four families: Ariidae. Bagridae. Ictaluridae and Bimelodidae

Rab (1981) and Vasilies (1985) mentioned that in siluroid families chromosomes and or arm numbers exhibit a great variability and it may be assumed that karyotype is specific and that this criterion can be used for species characterization.

Srivastava and Bhagwan (1986) reported chromosome complement of $2 \mathrm{n}=52$ for Clarias batrachus (Clariidae. Siluriformes). The chromosomes were typed as: One pair metacentric (M) centromere is exactly at the midpoint of the chromosomal arms) which is the largest of all. 2 pairs metacentric ( m , centromere is at the medium region. but not at the exact midpoint-Levan et al.. 1964) which is medium in size between the first one and the remainders, 21 pairs telocentric ( $t$ ) having small size and 2 pairs of another telocentric with much smaller size

Teugle (1986) and Teugle et al (1992) reported the same chromosome number $2 \mathrm{n}=56$ nearly identical chromosome formula in Clarias anguillaris and C. fusus (Clariidae, siluriformes)

Ozouf-Costaz et. al. (1990) reported that the African catfish Clarias gariepinus (Clariidae) showed chromosomal type of 8 median centric, 24


Fig.(2) : Electrophoretic proteinograms for the serum and muscle of Synodontis schall
A-serum proteinogram of
B-Muscle proteinograd
submedian centric and 24 acrocentric chromosomes with arm number (FN) of 88 for males. While, their females have 8 median centric, 25 submedian and 23 acrocentric chromosomes with arm number (FN) of 89

Madcoure et. al. (1995) described a chromosomal complement of $2 \mathrm{n}=56$ for C. lazera with $\mathrm{FN}=86$. The chromosomal types were 15 pairs of submetacentric (sm), 10 pairs of submetacentric (st) and three pairs of telocentric chromosomes ( t ). The total mean length of the chromosomes ranged between $2.38 \mu \mathrm{~m}-0.57 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. with total mean length of haploid set ( $\mathrm{n}=28$ ) of chromosomes of $36.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. the arm ratios ranged between $0.00-0.24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
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