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#### Abstract

Experimental fishing operations were carried out at Edku lake during the period from November 1999 to March 2001. Six fishing areas were selected in a way that they can represent the whole area of the lake. Monofilament nylon trammel nets with different mesh sizes ranging from 3.33 cm to 6.85 cm stretched measure were used during the present investigation.

The present study was carried out with the aim of investigating the abundance and distribution of the various fish species in the different areas of the lake. It was found that Oreochromis niloticus dominated the experimental catch comprising $41.82 \%$ by weight of the whole catch. The other Cichlid fish species namely Oreochromis aureus, Tilapia Zillii and Sarotherodon galilaeus formed $23.61 \%, 14.33 \%$ and $7.37 \%$ respectively of the catch.

The fresh water cat fishes Clarias lazera and Bagrus bayad formed $7.21 \%$ and $5.45 \%$ of this catch.

The average lengths of fish caught from the lake were found to be: | 13.03 cm | for | O. niloticus |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12.74 cm | for | O. aureus |  |
| 10.96 cm | for | T. zillii | and |
| 14.62 cm | for | S. galilacus |  |


It was possible to indicate that these four species were mainly caught from the lake during their second or third year of life.

The average lengths of C. lazera and B. bayad were found to be 30.55 cm and 23.79 cm in respective.

A comparison between the participation of the marine fish species in the experimental catch taken from Edku lake during the years 1990 and 2000 showed that these species contributed by $28.76 \%$ by weight during 1990 while it decreased
drastically to $0.42 \%$ only during the year 2000. Such decrease may be attributed to pollution of the lake water, fishing of fish fry with large number for stocking the fish farms as well as the unfavorable conditions of the lake-sea connection that can affect the movement of fry to the lake.

## INTRODUCTION

Edku lake, as it is the case in the other north delta lakes in Egypt, faced in the last 20 years many problems which inversely affected the yearly fish production of these lakes.

One of the most serious problems which faced Edku lake during the last years is the discharge of high rates of drainage water which transplanted annually to the lake high amounts of agricultural, industrial as well as domestic wastes. Such drainage water discharge which usually takes its way through the lake to the sea decreased the salinity of the lake water specially at the area of the lake near the lake-sea connection.

Although the average value of salinity in this area was found to be around 21.5 parts per thousand since 20 years, this average decreased to 2.52 parts per thousand during the year 2000. (Abbas et al 2001). Such decrease gives us an impression that sharp and drastic environmental changes were occurring at the lake during the last 10 years.

The fish populations at Edku lake as well as the other delta lakes are generally comprised from fresh water fish species, mainly Tilapias as well as those species of marine origin. The marine fish species enter the northerm delta lakes as fry through the lake - sea connections. The migration of these marine species to the brackish water of the lakes comes with the aim for feeding. Such species live well and grow in the lake water as far as the environmental conditions are optimum for their survival. At the time they reach their sexual maturity they return back to the sea for reproduction.

In fact both the fresh water fish species living at the lake and those species of marine origin are characterized by wide size ranges belonging to different age groups.

The distribution of fish populations in the lake is affected mainly by the environmental conditions specially the hydrochemical characters of the water as well as its hydrobiological conditions.

It is believed therefore that the high rates of water discharge in Edku lake during the last ten years could drastically affect the marine life, as well as the abundance and distribution of fish in this lake, specially those species of marine origin which are more sensitive to the ecological conditions.

However it is aimed in the present study to investigate the seasonal geographic distribution of the various fish populations in the lake in relation to the ecological conditions prevailing at this lake during the year 2000.

Experimental fishing operations were carried out at the different parts of the lake using trammel nets having a wide range of mesh sizes. These nets were able therefore to catch the various sizes of fresh water and marine fish species.

The present study is a part of the research plan of the fisheries division, National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries in Egypt. This plan aims to develop the fisheries at the northern delta lakes namely Manzalah, Borollus, Edku and Mariut.

## Edkulake

Edku lake is situated at about 30 km to the north east of Alexandria has an area of about 17.000 feddans and a water depth ranging from 0.50 to 1.50 m with an average of 0.75 m .

The inflow of water for Edku lakes is provided mainly from the drainage water discharged through Barsik and El-Khairy drains. Before discharging in the lake ElKhairy drain is connected with three subdrains namely Edku, Damanhour and El-Bosily subdrains. It is believed that the drainage water of Edku subdrains is composed mainly from agriculture wastes, while the drainage water of El-Bosily subdrain is comprise mainly from domestic as well as industrial wastes.

The inflow of Damanhour subdrain is in fact a mixture from domestic, agricultural and industrial wastes. In addition to the drainage water, Edku lake receives sea water from the Meditenanrian through the lake sea connection at the north western part of the lake. This inflow occurs mostly during winter. El-Samra (1973) pointed out that the chlorosity of the surface water of El-Tawila island in winter reached $2.3 \mathrm{gm} \mathrm{Cl/L}$. He was able to detect the extension of mixed marine water into the lake up to this area during winter.

Some years back Edku lake was classified among oligotrophic lakes (Salah, 1960, 1961 and Soliman 1983). Due to the high inputs of nutrient rich effluents at its eastern and southern sections the lake became hypertrophied (Crharib, 1998).
Experimental fishing techniques through out the present investigation:
Trammel net is considered as the most common fishing gear used in the north delta lakes of Egypt. This net is used to catch all fish species living in thee lakes. Nets with a narrow meshed inner layers are used to catch those species of marine origin while others having wider meshes are used to catch Tilapias. Typically the lead rope of trammel net is longer than the cork rope, so that the pockets are more easily formed. (Alsayes, 1976).

Experimental fishing survey was carried out at Edku lake during the period from Nov. 1999 to March 2001. Six localities were selected in the lake for carrying the experiments covering the whole area of the lake as shown in Fig. (1).


Trammel nets with different mesh sizes were used in the present survey to overcome any probiem that may result from the species or size selection while sampling the fish populations. The stretched mesh sizes of the inner layers of the five nets used were 3.33, $3.85,4.35,5.04$ and 6.85 cm . The mesh size of the outer layers of these nets were 10.45 , $12.24,13.51,15.85$ and 21.33 cm .

It appears therefore that the sampling of the fish populations at the different areas of the lake was carried out in a way that all of the individuals comprising these populations were having equal chances to be members of the experimental catch.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fishing with trammel net depend upon the movement of fish and therefore the catch per unit effort is a function of the activity of fish in the vicinity of the nets as well as their abundance in the area of fishing.

The experimental catch taken by various mesh sizes of trammel net from different areas of the lake can therefore be considered as a good measure for the seasonal as well as geographic distribution of the fish populations in this lake.

## A. Seasonal species composition of the fish population at Edku lake

The species composition of the catch taken by trammel net during the period from Nov. 1999 to Nov. 2000 is given in table (1) and is graphically represented in Fig. (2).

It can be pointed out from the data given in table (1) that Oreochromis niloticus dominated the catch comprising $41.82 \%$ by weight of the total catch during this period. This fish species constituted $49.39 \%, 44.51 \%, 28.02 \%$ and $56.38 \%$ of the catch during winter, spring, summer and autumn respectively. This indicates that $O$. niloticus becomes active and move around in the open water of the lake during autumn if compared with the other species of Tilapia.

Next to $O$. niloticus it can be observed that Oreochromis aureus constituted the second percentage in the catch having a value of $23.61 \%$ by weight of the total catch. It is worth mentioning that $O$. aurues constituted higher percentage than $O$. niloticus during summer. This leads us to believe that $O$. aureus can be considered as the most active and abundant fish in the lake in summer.

Tilapia zillii constituted considerably in the catch during the whole period of the year with the exception of autumn. The percentages of this fish species were $13.05 \%$, $19.81 \%, 16.96 \%$ during winter, spring and summer while it dropped to $5.49 \%$ during autumn.
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 net during Summer \& Autumn.

## A.A. Alsayes

Sarotherodon galilaeus appeared in the experimental catch with considerable contribution during autumn, on the other hand its contribution in this catch was modest through winter, spring and summer. It constituted $17.96 \%$ of the experimental catch during autumn, this constitution decreased to $0.78 \%, 2.77 \%$, and $6.39 \%$ during winter, spring and summer respectively. It can be observed that such contribution increased gradually from winter to summer. The gradual increase of water temperature may by a considerable factor in this concern.

The other two fresh water fish species namely Bagrus bayad and Clarias lazera contributed in the experimental catch with percentages below those of the four Tilapia species. Clarias lazera comprised $7.21 \%$ during the whole period of the year while Bagrus bayad constituted $5.45 \%$ only of such catch.

Other fish species such as Liza ramada and Anguilla sp. appeared in the experimental catch with low percentages forming $0.30 \%$ and $0.12 \%$ respectively.
B. Size composition of the various species caught from Edku lake.

The size composition of fish caught from the north delta lakes has been indicated by several authors. Among these authors are El-Zarka et al (1970) who pointed out through their study on the biological characters of Tilapia fish in the north delta lakes that most of the Tilapia species were usually caught at an average size of 11.0 cm total length.

Alsayes (1976) showed that the average length of Tilapia zillii experimentally caught from Borollus lake using trammel nets having various mesh sizes was 9.26 cm . The average length of Oreochromis niloticus caught from the same lake was 12.27 cm .

Aisayes and Soliman (1993) found that the average lengths of Tilapia zillii experimentally caught from Edku lake were 10.32 cm and 12.73 cm during June and November 1990 and it was 11.65 cm during February 1991. The average lengths of Oreochromis niloticus during the same months were $16.64 \mathrm{~cm}, 14.52 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 14.60 cm respectively.

The length frequency as well as the average lengths of the most common fish species experimentally caught from Edku lake during the present investigation are given in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5.

The average lengths of the four Tilapias were found to be 13.03 cm for $O$. niloticus, 12.74 cm for $O$. aureus, 10.96 for Tilapia zillii and 14.68 cm for $S$. galilaeus.

It can be indicated from such data that the average length of $T$. zillii was the least between the average lengths of the four Tilapia species.

Table 3 : Seasonal length frequency distribution of Oreochromis aureus caught from Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish |  |  |  | Total number <br> of fish caught |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Autumn | Winter | Spring | Summer |  |
| 7.5 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |
| 8.5 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 14 |
| 9.5 | 1 | 11 | 81 | 4 | 97 |
| 10.5 | 8 | 53 | 145 | 34 | 240 |
| 11.5 | 57 | 131 | 296 | 203 | 687 |
| 12.5 | 72 | 93 | 243 | 404 | 812 |
| 13.5 | 47 | 43 | 113 | 189 | 382 |
| 14.5 | 26 | 33 | 37 | 46 | 142 |
| 15.5 | 15 | 17 | 8 | 28 | 58 |
| 16.5 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 27 |
| 17.5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 15 |
| 18.5 |  |  |  | 7 | 7 |
| 19.5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 20.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 12.94 | 12.28 | 11.82 | 12.74 | 13.326 |
| length (cm) |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Standard | 1.535 | 1.593 | 1.374 | 1.395 | 1.492 |
| deviation |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4 : Seasonal length frequency distribution of Tilapia zillii caught from Edku lake


Table 5 : Seasonal length frequency distribution of Sarotherodon galilaeus caught
from Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish |  |  |  | Total number <br> of fish caught |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Autumn | Winter | Spring | Summer | 2 |
| 9.5 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| 10.5 |  | 4 | 1 |  | 5 |
| 11.5 | 1 | 5 | 1 |  | 6 |
| 12.5 | 2 | 9 | 5 |  | 15 |
| 13.5 | 28 | 32 |  | 4 | 1 |
| 14.5 | 131 | 66 | 12 | 13 | 24 |
| 15.5 | 79 | 38 | 7 | 14 | 71 |
| 16.5 | 14 | 10 | 2 | 11 | 138 |
| 17.5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 19 | 27 |
| 18.5 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 19.5 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 259 | 197 | 35 | 63 | 554 |
| Average <br> length (cm) | 14.82 | 14.04 | 14.30 | 16.33 | 14.68 |
| Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
| deviation | 0.854 | 1.596 | 1.983 | 1.420 | 1.495 |

Elestar et al (1960), and Botros (1969) found that T. zillii grows with lower rates in either length or weight than the other Tilapia species. They attributed this slow growth rate to the high fecundity of $T$. zillii which infers an extra burden on the fish.

It appears also from the data given that the average length of $S$. galilaeus was the highest between the Tilapia species. This may point out to the higher growth rates of both $\boldsymbol{O}$. niloticus and $\boldsymbol{S}$. galilaeus in comparison with the growth rates of the other two species.

In this concern, it has been indicated by El-Zarka et al (1970) that the calculated lengths of Tilapia nilotica during the ends of successive years of life at Mariut lake were as follows:

| Year of life | Calculated length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: |
| I | 8.37 |
| I | 21.25 |
| III | 28.72 |
| IV | 32.61 |
| V | 35.91 |

El-Shazly (1993) compared between the growth of the four Tilapias at Mariut lake. He pointed out that the average lengths (in cm ) of these fish species at the various years of their lives were as follows:

|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ year | $2^{\text {nd }}$ year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ year | $4^{\text {th }}$ year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O. niloticus | 8.44 | 14.21 | 18.80 | 24.17 |
| O. aureus | 7.88 | 12.72 | 16.34 | - |
| T. zillii | 8.15 | 12.24 | - | - |
| S. galilaeus | 7.89 | 12.25 | 18.45 | - |

Shawky (1999) carried out the same comparison at Manzalah lake and gave the following average lengths:

|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ year | $2^{\text {nd }}$ year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ year | $4^{\text {th }}$ year |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| O. niloticus | 8.64 | 11.33 | 13.97 | 16.58 |
| O. aureus | 6.88 | 10.67 | 13.44 | 15.86 |
| T. zillii | 6.18 | 8.64 | 10.95 | 13.20 |
| S. galilaeus | 8.06 | 11.06 | 13.91 | 16.51 |

## A.A. Alsayes

Abdalla (1995) in his comparison between these average lengths at Edku lake indicated the following values:

|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ year | $2^{\text {nd }}$ year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ year | $4^{\text {th }}$ year |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| O. niloticus | 9.03 | 13.07 | 15.89 | 18.21 |
| O. aureus | 7.35 | 11.14 | 13.54 | 16.21 |
| T. zillii | 7.03 | 10.50 | 12.81 | 15.10 |
| S. galilaeus | 8.21 | 12.38 | 14.84 | 17.19 |

The average lengths at the various years of life for the four Tilapias indicate that the growth rate of $T$. zillii was the lowest in comparison with the other species. On the other hand $O$. niloticus and $S$. galilaeus are characterised by higher growth rates at the three north delta lakes of Egypt.

It was indicated by some authors that $O$. niloticus grows fast but is less salt-tolerant than O. aureus (Watanabe et al, 1985, Avella et al 1993).

It can be concluded also that these fish species are mainly caught during their second or third years of life.

The sizes of Clarias lazera during the course of the present investigation ranged between 17.5 cm and 50.5 cm (Table 6) with an average of 30.55 cm . Alsayes et al (1992) found that Clarias lazera attained 18.30 cm in length at the end of their first year of life. The lengths reached $28.0,36.9$ and 42.7 cm during their next years of age respectively.

This can indicate that C. lazera is mainly caught from the lake during the second and sometimes during the third years of their ages.

Bagrus bayad was caught with sizes ranging between 14.5 cm and 43.5 cm with an average length of 23.79 cm (Table 7). This fish was mainly caught during the summer season. It is believed that most of the fish caught moved from the drains located near to Edku lake towards the lake during the summer season when the drainage water is discharged to the lake with comparatively high rates.

| 24.5 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25.5 | 4 | 1 |  | 3 | 6 |
| 26.5 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| 27.5 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| 28.5 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| 29.5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| 30.5 | 11 | 2 |  | 3 | 11 |
| 31.5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| 32.5 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| 33.5 | 14 | 4 | 2 |  | 14 |
| 34.5 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| 35.5 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 5 |
| 36.5 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 37.5 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 38.5 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| 39.5 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 40.5 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 45.5 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| S | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total number | 90 | 24 | 17 | 25 | 156 |
| Average length |  |  |  |  |  |
| (cm) | 31.28 | 31.00 | 29.91 | 27.94 | 30.55 |
| Standard <br> deviation | 5.319 | 5.073 | 4.184 | 3.042 | 4.978 |

Table 7: Seasonal length frequency distribution of Bagrus bayad caught from Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish |  |  |  | Total number of fish caught |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Winter | Spring | Summer | Autumn |  |
| 14.5 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 15.5 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 16.5 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 6 |
| 17.5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 12 |
| 18.5 | 6 | 2 | 6 |  | 14 |
| 19.5 |  | 1 | 11 |  | 12 |
| 20.5 | 2 | 1 | 14 |  | 17 |
| 21.5 | 1 |  | 16 |  | 17 |
| 22.5 |  | 1 | 17 | 2 | 20 |
| 23.5 |  | 1 | 24 | 2 | 27 |
| 24.5 |  | 1 | 21 |  | 22 |
| 25.5 |  | 5 | 21 | 1 | 27 |
| 26.5 |  | 3 | 10 |  | 13 |
| 27.5 |  | 1 | 13 | 1 | 15 |
| 28.5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| 29.5 |  |  | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 30.5 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  | 8 |
| 31.5 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 32.5 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 33.5 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 34.5 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 35.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37.5 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 38.5 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 40.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43.5 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total number | 16 | 28 | 180 | 10 | 234 |
| Average length (cm) | 19.81 | 22.25 | 23.93 | 27.40 | 23.79 |
| Standard deviation | 4.078 | 4.926 | 3.504 | 5.021 | 4.320 |

## C. Distribution of fish in the lake:

It is a matter of fact that the ecological features especially the chemical composition of the lake water, play a very important role in distributing the various fish species through the whole area of the north delta lakes of Egypt. The areas of the lake laying adjacent to the north and facing the lake sea connection is believed to be characterized by higher abundance of the marine fish species which are used to migrate for feeding from the sea to the lake.

Alsayes and Soliman (1993) in their study on the species composition of fish populations at Edku lake indicated that the various marine fish species comprised $28.76 \%$ of the whole fish population of this lake.

The species composition of the experimental catch taken from the different localities of the lake during the present study which extended for the two years 1999 and 2000 is given in table (8) and graphically represented in Fig. (3).
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|  | 亏 | ： | $\stackrel{i}{0}$ | $8$ | \% | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \bar{c} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}\right.$ | 芯 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & \text { 亏. } \end{aligned}\right.$ | ： | ஃ |  |
| － | ： | ； | ： | $\bigcirc$ | 芯 | $5$ | 谅 | ＇ | \| | $\begin{aligned} & \text { io } \\ & i \circ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 后き | $\leq$ |
|  | ： | ： | ： | 佥 | ベ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{6}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $N$ | $\mid \vec{\omega}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | ஃ |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { 参 }}{\stackrel{y}{i}}$ | \％ | 응 | $\bar{I}$ | $0$ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{U}}}$ | 气. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathbf{u} \\ \text { w } \end{array}$ | 芯 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | 房云 | 를 |
|  | $0$ | i | $0$ | $8$ | $\stackrel{\text { i }}{\text { i }}$ | ※े | $\underset{\substack{n \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{A}}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\omega}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u}}{ }$ | $\therefore$ |  |



It can be indicated from the data given that $O$. niloticus _constituted the highest percent in the catch taken from all areas of the lake including those areas near to the lake sea connection. The percentage of this fish species ranged between $28.90 \%$ at station (V) and $53.49 \%$ by weight at station (I) with an average of $47.37 \%$. aureus was the second species in abundance next to $O$. niloticus comprising an average of $20.37 \%$ by weight through the whole area of the lake. The percentage of $O$. aureus in the experimental catch ranged between $14.86 \%$ at locality (V) to $32.98 \%$ at locality (VI).

The other two Tilapias T. zillii and S. galilaeus contributed with lower percentages in the experimental catch taken from all the fishing localities in the lake during the whole period of the present investigation which extended for about one and half years. It can be observed that the percentage abundance of these two species were inversely proportional with each others at all of the experimental localities of Edku lake. While T. zillii contributed with $15.60 \%$ in the experimental catch at locality (I), S. galilaeus constituted $0.26 \%$ only in this catch. The same observation was found at station VI where T. zillii constituted $11.22 \%$ by weight of the catch the other species $S$. galilaues comprised $2.45 \%$ of this catch. On the other hand S. galilaues was more abundant at locality (V) forming $19.21 \%$ of the catch while $T$. zillii formed $10.64 \%$ only of such catch.

This may indicate that the favorable environmental conditions for T. zillii to live in, are not so much favorable for S. galilaeus. Lake water of higher salinities is preferable for T. zillii while $S$. galilaeus prefers to live at lower salinity parts. This can be emphasized from the higher abundance of T. zillii at the areas adjacent to the lake sea connection which are characterized by higher salinity.

In agreement with the above observation, El-Shazly (1993) in his study on the biological characters of the four cichlid fish species at Mariut lake pointed out that $O$. niloticus is the most common fish species in the areas of low salinities while T. zillii is frequently common in the more saline areas. He indicated also that T. zillii is some times found with $O$. aureus near the openings leading to the sea at the north delta lakes. It was indicated by the same author also that S. galilaeus is less common than the other three species and often found with $O$. niloticus at the areas of low salinity especially near the drains.

The length frequency distribution of each of the four cichlid fish species caught from the different parts of Edku lake during the present study are given in Tables 9, 10, 11, and 12. The percentage weights and numbers of each species to the whole catch of cichlid fish are shown in the same tables.

Table 9 : Size composition of fish caught by trammel nets from station (I) at Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O.niloticus | O.aureus | S.galilaeus | T:Zillii | Total |
| 7.5 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |
| 8.5 | 2 |  |  | 29 |  |
| 9.5 | 6 | 28 | 1 | 95 |  |
| 10.5 | 48 | 100 |  | 148 |  |
| 11.5 | 103 | 218 | 5 | 117 |  |
| 12.5 | 81 | 132 |  | 36 |  |
| 13.5 | 79 | 35 |  | 23 |  |
| 14.5 | 80 | 17 | 1 | 12 |  |
| 15.5 | 57 | 5 | 1 | 4 |  |
| 16.5 | 54 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 17.5 | 23 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 18.5 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| 19.5 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 21.5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 22.5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 24.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 579 | 542 | 8 | 469 | 1598 |
| Average Length (cm) | 13.98 | 11.77 | 12.13 | 10.84 |  |
| Standard deviation | $\pm 2.65$ | 1.26 | 1.92 | 1.43 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total weight } \\ (\mathrm{Kgm}) \end{gathered}$ | 44.580 | 21.090 | 0.220 | 13.000 | 78.89 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage by } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | 36.23 | 33.92 | 0.50 | 29.35 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage by } \\ \text { weight } \end{gathered}$ | 56.50 | 26.73 | 0.27 | 16.48 |  |

Table 10 : Size composition of fish caught by trammel nets from station (II) at Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O.niloticus | O.aureus | S.galilaeus | T.Zillii | Total |
| 7.5 |  |  | . | 1 |  |
| 8.5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 |  |
| 9.5 | 52 | 7 | 1 | 30 |  |
| 10.5 | 309 | 28 |  | 92 |  |
| 11.5 | 291 | 121 | 1 | 131 |  |
| 12.5 | 127 | 195 | 2 | 46 |  |
| 13.5 | 127 | 97 | 5 | 19 |  |
| 14.5 | 97 | 30 | 59 | 9 |  |
| 15.5 | 37 | 19 | 46 | 6 |  |
| 16.5 | 24 | 7 | 17 | 2 |  |
| 17.5 | 19 | 11 | 17 |  |  |
| 18.5 | 11 | 7 | 2 |  |  |
| 19.5 | 10 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 20.5 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 21.5 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 22.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 24.5 | 1 |  |  | , |  |
| 25.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tetal number | 1122 | 528 | 153 | 349 | 2152 |
| Average Length (cm) | 12.36 | 12.81 | 15.32 | 11.36 |  |
| Standard deviation | 2.33 | 1.71 | 1.47 | 1.40 |  |
| Total weight (Kgm) | 51.105 | 17.715 | 10.560 | 10.015 | 89.395 |
| Percentage by number | 52.13 | 24.53 | 7.11 | 16.22 |  |
| Percentage by weight | 57.17 | 19.82 | 11.81 | 11.20 |  |

Table 11 : Size composition of fish caught by trammel nets from station (III)
at Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O.niloticus | O.aureus | S.galilaeus | T.Zillii | Total |
| 7.5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| 8.5 | 44 | 12 |  | 89 |  |
| 9.5 | 53 | 60 |  | 205 |  |
| 10.5 | 114 | 49 |  | 177 |  |
| 11.5 | 138 | 134 |  | 177 |  |
| 12.5 | 126 | 129 | 1 | 53 |  |
| 13.5 | 72 | 54 | 21 | 16 |  |
| 14.5 | 73 | 14 | 87 | 8 |  |
| 15.5 | 41 | 9 | 44 | 9 |  |
| 16.5 | 39 | 1 | 9 | 3 |  |
| 17.5 | 33 |  | 6 | 3 |  |
| 18.5 | 29 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 19.5 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 21.5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 22.5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 24.5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 25.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 802 | 463 | 169 | 743 | 2177 |
| Average Length (cm) | 13.08 | 11.74 | 14.86 | 10.57 |  |
| Standard deviation | 3.07 | 1.49 | 0.95 | 1.52 |  |
| Total weight (Kgm) | 44.150 | 13.110 | 7.145 | 16.150 | 80.556 |
| Percentage by number | 36.84 | 21.27 | 7.76 | 34.13 |  |
| Percentage by weight | 54.80 | 16.27 | 8.87 | 20.05 |  |

Table 12 : Size composition of fish caught by trammel nets from station (IV) at Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O.niloticus | O.aureus | S.galilaeus | T.Zillii | Total |
| 7.5 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |
| 8.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 |  |
| 9.5 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 25 |  |
| 10.5 | 90 | 21 | 2 | 85 |  |
| 11.5 | 131 | 152 | 8 | 87 |  |
| 12.5 | 170 | 147 | 17 | 42 |  |
| 13.5 | 138 | 53 | 28 | 25 |  |
| 14.5 | 105 | 29 | 30 | 18 |  |
| 15.5 | 54 | 14 | 19 | 6 |  |
| 16.5 | 41 | 8 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 17.5 | 20 | 1 | 1 | . |  |
| 18.5 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 19.5 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 22.5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 25.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 27.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 29.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 801 | 431 | 110 | 311 | 1653 |
| Average <br> Length (cm) | 13.37 | 12.42 | 13.8 | 11.45 |  |
| Standard deviation | 2.46 | 1.45 | 1.51 | 1.69 |  |
| Total weight (Kgm) | 43.985 | 16.180 | 5.870 | 9.620 | 75.655 |
| Percentage by number | 48.46 | 26.07 | 6.65 | 18.81 |  |
| Percentage by weight | 58.11 | 21.39 | 7.76 | 12.72 |  |

Table 13 : Size composition of fish caught by trammel nets from station (V) at Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ) | O.niloticus | O.aureus | S.galilaeus | T.Ziliii | Total |
| 7.5 |  | 2 |  | 8 |  |
| 8.5 |  | 6 |  | 16 |  |
| 9.5 |  | 18 | 4 | 22 |  |
| 10.5 | 38 | 76 | 6 | 44 |  |
| 11.5 | 66 | 66 | 2 | 48 |  |
| 12.5 | 100 | 10 | 16 | 36 |  |
| 13.5 | 38 | 6 | 28 | 10 |  |
| 14.5 | 58 | 4 | 76 | 6 |  |
| 15.5 | 34 |  | 34 | 4 |  |
| 16.5 | 8 |  | 12 |  |  |
| 17.5 | 6 |  | 4 |  |  |
| 18.5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 19.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 21.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 351 | 188 | 182 | 194 | 915 |
| Average <br> Length (cm) | 13.06 | 10.95 | 14.28 | 11.10 |  |
| Standard deviation | 1.77 | 1.15 | 1.51 | 1.71 |  |
| Total weight (Kgm) | 15.340 | 7.890 | 10.200 | 5.65 | 39.08 |
| Percentage by number | 38.36 | 20.55 | 19.89 | 21.20 |  |
| Percentage by weight | 39.25 | 20.19 | 26.10 | 14.46 |  |

Table 14 : Size composition of fish caught by trammel nets from station (VI) at Edku lake

| Length (cm) | Number of fish caught |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O.niloticus | O.aureus | S.galilaeus | T.Zillii | Total |
| 7.5 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 8.5 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| 9.5 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 19 |  |
| 10.5 | 134 | 26 | 1 | 89 |  |
| 11.5 | 139 | 130 | 1 | 102 |  |
| 12.5 | 98 | 204 | 3 | 33 |  |
| 13.5 | 60 | 92 | 5 | 10 |  |
| 14.5 | 46 | 24 | 4 | 6 |  |
| 15.5 | 36 | 12 | 5 | 1 |  |
| 16.5 | 24 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 17.5 | 23 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 18.5 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 19.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 21.5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 22.5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 24.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 599 | 496 | 24 | 263 | 1382 |
| Average Length (cm) | 12.81 | 12.5 | 14.17 | 11.26 |  |
| Standard deviation | 2.43 | 1.18 | 2.04 | 1.12 |  |
| Total weight (Kgm) | 28.895 | 22.100 | 1.640 | 7.520 | 60.155 |
| Percentage by number | 43.3 | 35.89 | 1.74 | 19.03 |  |
| Percentage by weight | 48.03 | 36.74 | 2.73 | 12.50 |  |

## A.A. Alsayes

Table 15 : Percentages of fish caught by trammel nets from different fishing localities of Edku lake.

| Station |  | O.niloticus | O.aureus | S.galilaeus | T.zilli |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 36.23 | 33.92 | 0.50 | 29.35 |
|  | II | 52.13 | 24.53 | 7.11 | 16.22 |
|  | III | 36.84 | 21.27 | 7.76 | 34.13 |
|  | IV | 48.46 | 26.07 | 6.65 | 18.81 |
|  | V | 38.36 | 20.55 | 19.89 | 21.20 |
|  | VI | 43.34 | 35.89 | 1.7 | 19.03 |
|  | I | 56.50 | 26.73 | 0.27 | 16.48 |
|  | II | 57.17 | 19.82 | 11.81 | 11.20 |
|  | III | 54.80 | 16.27 | 8.87 | 20.05 |
|  | IV | 58.11 | 21.39 | 7.76 | 12.72 |
|  | V | 39.25 | 20.19 | 26.10 | 14.46 |
|  | VI | 48.03 | 36.74 | 2.73 | 12.50 |


| $\qquad$ | I |  | II |  | III |  | IV |  | V |  | VI |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wt | \% | Wt | \% | W/t | \% | Wt | \% | Wt | \% | Wt | \% | Wt | \% |
| Oreochromis auraeus | 1675 | 8.40 | 4970 | 32.55 | 4210 | 26.96 | 3470 | 16.25 | 2970 | 17.98 | 4070 | 25.32 | 21365 | 20.39 |
| Tlapia zillh | 2325 | 11.66 | 2850 | 18.67 | 4790 | 30.68 | 5570 | 26.08 | 5360 | 32.45 | 3050 | 18.97 | 23945 | 22.85 |
| Sarotherodon galilaeus | 440 | 2.21 | 200 | 1.31 | 60 | 0.38 | 430 | 2.01 | 755 | 4.57 | 500 | 3.11 | 2385. | 2.28 |
| Oreochromis uiloticus | 1400 | 7.02 | 4230 | 27.71 | 1940 | 12.42 | 6805 | 31.85 | 1570 | 9.50 | 745 | 4.63 | 16690 | 15.93 |
| Mugil cephalus | 2465 | 12.36 | 170 | 1.11 | 1395 | 8.93 | 900 | 4.21 | 1150 | 6.96 | 1470 | 9.14 | 7560 | 7.21 |
| Liza ramada | 2755 | 13.82 | 150 | 0.98 | 1400 | 8.97 | 1035 | 4.85 | 1390 | 8.41 | 1160 | 7.22 | 7890 | 7.53 |
| Clarias lazera | 1130 | 5.66 | 367 | 2.40 | 270 | 1.73 | 1500 | 7.02 | 2395 | 14.50 | 3200 | 19.91 | 8862 | 8.46 |
| Sparus aurata | 460 | 2.31 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 460 | 0.43 |
| Morone punctata | 4275 | 21.44 | 80 | 0.52 | 310 | 1.99 | 320 | 1.50 | 390 | 2.36 | 710 | 4.42 | 6085 | 5.81 |
| Morone labrav: | 2795 | 14.02 | 2060 | 13.49 | 890 | 5.70 | 1000 | 4.68 | 400 | 4.42 | 1010 | 6.28 | 8156 | 7.78 |
| Other fish | 220 | 1.10 | 190 | 1.24 | 350 | 2.24 | 330 | 1.54 | 140 | 0.85 | 160 | 1.00 | 1390 | 1.33 |
| Total | 199 | 40 | 15267 |  | 15615 |  | 21360 |  | 16075 |  |  |  |  | 77 |

Table (16): Percentage weight of various fish species caught from different localities at Edku lake during 1990 (Anter Alsayes and Soliman 1993)

## A.A. Albayes

It can be indicated from the data given in these tables that it may be hard to observe significant differences between the average lengths of any of the four species from one part of the lake to another. This means that the average lengths of the population of fish in the lake is more or less homogenous population.
D. Comparison between the species composition of fish population during the years 1990 and 2000:
It is a matter of fact that Edku lake has been receiving during the last 10 years increasing rates of waste water discharge. This discharge as well as the accumulation of high amounts of the decomposed aquatic vegetations on the bottom of the lake, increased the water level of the lake. As a result of the increased water level of the lake, it was difficult for the sea water to inflow inside the lake during most days of the year with the exception of few days through the winter season.

It was believed therefore that the species composition of fish population in this lake may have been changed during the last 10 years as a result of the difficulty that may face the marine fish species to migrate from the sea water to the lake for feeding where unfavourable ecological conditions may occur.

It is attempted in the species composition of fish populations in the lake during the year 2000 with that indicated by Alsayes and Soliman (1993) where they investigated the species composition of fish population in the lake ten years back i.e. during the year 1990.

Tables (16) and (8) show the species composition of the experimental catch taken from the various parts of the lake during the years 1990 and 2000 respectively.

The data given in these tables are graphically represented by Fig. (3) and (4) in respective.

It can be pointed out from the data given in these tables that:

1. The marine fish species Mugil cephalus, Liza ramada, Morone labrax, and Morone punctata were participated in the experimental catch taken from all the sampling areas of the lake during 1990. Higher percentages of these species were found at the area adjacent to the lake sea connection (area I).

The marine fish species Sparus aurita was participated in the experimental catch taken from area I only and comprised $2.31 \%$ by weight of such catch during 1990.

The above manne fish species comprised $2876 \%$ of the total experimental catch taken during the vear 1991 ,


Fig.( 4):Species composition of the experimental catch taken from the various fishing localities. (1990)


Fig.(6.): Species composition of the total experimentat catch taken by trammel net. during the year 2000
2. The marine fish species Liza ramada was participated with few individuals in the catch taken from the whole area of the lake and comprised $0.30 \%$ by weight of the experimental catch during the year 2000. Anguilla sp. was caught from two areas of the lake constituting $0.12 \%$ by weight of the total experimental catch. The marine fish species comprised $042 \%$ of the whole experimental catch of the vear 2000
3. The four Cichlid fish species O. niloticus, O. aureus, T. zillii, and S. galilaeus were caught from the various areas of the lake during the years 1990 and 2000. O. niloticus dominated the experimental catch at these different areas during the year 2000 while O. aureus was more dominant at the same areas during the year 1990 .
4. The cat fish species Clarias lazera was caught from all the areas of the lake during the years 1990 and 2000 comprising $8.46 \%$ and $6.80 \%$ by weight during the two years respectively.
5. The fresh water fish species Bagrus bayad was participated in the catch taken from all of the fishing localities of the lake during the year 2000. Higher abundance of this fish species was observed at the areas far from the lake sea connection. It comprised $9.60 \%$ and $8.72 \%$ by weight of the catch taken from stations V and VI, while it comprised $1.06 \%$ and $2.74 \%$ only in the catch taken from the areas near the lake sea connection. Bagrus bayad constituted $4.54 \%$ by weight of the experimental catch taken during the year 2000 while it appeared with low contribution as a category of other fish in the catch of 1990.
6. The contribution of the marine fish species was very low during the year 2000 in comparison with its contribution 10 years back indicating that these species were very rarely living or present in the lake. This can be attributed to:
a. The discharge of high rates of sewage water which include domestic, agricultural and industrial wastes flowing at Abu Qir Bay and Edku lake. This discharge created unfavourable conditions for the fish fry of the marine species for migration feeding and accommodation in the lake.
b. Fishing the fish fry of the marine species with high rates from its natural sources with the aim of stocking a large number of fish farms that have been recently established at the borders of the lake
c. The unfavourable conditions due to siltung of the lake sea cunnection which may not allow the process of feeding migration and movements of the marine fish fr towards the lake.

## Summary and conclusions

The following points can be concluded from the present study:
(1) Oreochromis niloticus dominated the experimental catch caught from the lake by the use of trammel nets having different mesh sizes. This fish species comprised $41.82 \%$ by weight of the catch. Next to $O$. niloticus was Oreochromis aureus which constituted $23.61 \%$. On the other hand Sarotherodon galilaeus and Tilapia zillii contributed with $7.37 \%$ and $14.33 \%$ respectively of the whole experimental catch taken during the year 2000. The cat fish species Bagrus bayad and Clarias lazera formed $5.45 \%$ and $7.21 \%$ of the catch. It is believed that the low salinity of Edku lake water favoured the abundance of these fresh water species.
(2) The average lengths of $O$. niloticus, $O$. aureus, $T$. zillii and S. galilaeus were found to be $13.03 \mathrm{~cm}, 12.74 \mathrm{~cm}, 10.96 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 14.62 cm respectively. This leads us to conclude that $\boldsymbol{S}$. galilaeus grows faster than the other three species. It was possible to conclude also that the four Cichlid fish species are mainly caught during the second or third years of their life. The average lengths of the two cat fish species C. lazera and B. bayad were found as 30.55 cm and 23.79 cm respectively.
(3) O. niloticus constituted the highest percentage in the catch taken from the six experimental localities in the lake even at that locality adjacent to the lake sea connection. $O$. aureus was the second in abundance in the whole parts of the lake. The favourable ecological conditions for T. zillii to live in were not so favourable to $S$. galilaeus. As T. zillii prefers to accommodate and live in the parts of the lake having comparatively higher water salinities, it was found that the other parts characterized by lower salinity favours the living of $\boldsymbol{S}$. galilaeus.
(4) It was difficult to observe significant differences between the average lengths of any of the four Tilapia species from one area to another in the lake. This leads us to conclude that these species distribute in homogenous way throughout the lake.
(5) A comparison between the abundance of the marine fish species in the lake during the years 1990 and 2000 showed that these species contributed with $28.76 \%$ by weight in the experimental catch taken during the year 1990, while this contribution decreased significantly. To be $0.42 \%$ only during the year 2000 . This drastic decrease can be attributed to some ecological factors such as water pollution in both the lake as well as Abu Qir Bay which have been created as a result from discharging waste water with high rates to the two areas during the last few years:Fishing the fish fry with large numbers and the unfavourable conditions of the lake sea connection are other factors.
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