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#### Abstract

Samples of Merluccius merluccius mediterraneus L. from the Egyptian Mediterranean waters were studied and compared for the years 1961, 1972 and 1992. The length-welght relationship is computed. Results showed no statistical difference at the $95 x$ prob. Level between the wolght-at-Length data of the three sampled periods, while the condition factor estimates proved that the value of 1972 period was lower than the others. This was attributed to the adverse enviromental conditions exerted after building the Aswan dam that prevented the Wile water from flooding into the area. This in turn affected the availability of nutrients and food for the flore and fauna of the area. The parameters for the Von Bertalanfy Growth Formula (VBGF) were calculated and the annual Mortality rates were computed.


The results proved also that the fishery of this species in the investigated aree was and still depending on the age classes 2 - 4 years. These contribute about $73 \times$ by numbers and for $53 x$ by weight of the landing from the epecies. The value of (E) obtained indicates that the fishery of this spectes is shifted towards overfishing and cells for some regulatory measures to be considered for the trewl fishery In the area.

## INTRODUCTION

Hake fishes are valuable edible large fishes. They are carnivorous feeding on crustacea while young; then prefer eardines when adult, Karlovac (1959). Among the various subepecies distributed all over the world, Merluccius merluccius mediterraneun $L$. is recorded in the Mediterranean sea (Soliman. 1973). Figueras (1965), stated that there are some geographical differences between species from the Atlantic and the Mediterranean as from the biological aspects point of view. While Merluccius merluccius mediterraneus $L$. is of economical importance in many countries, yet, it is represented by marginal percent in the Egyptian fish catch (Anon, 1962-1988). In the Egyptian waters; Hashem (1972), reported that $M_{2}$ me mediterraneus was insignificantly represented through out the year at shallow depths less than 100 meters, while at 100 - 200 meters it was the most dominant fish in the month of May giving a catch of $3.434 \mathrm{Kg} /$ /rawling hour, while in August it gave only 1.363 kg .

The present study is an attempt to understand the Variolls biological aspects of M. m. mediterraneus in the Egyptian Mediterranean Waters and to compare them with that obtained from other parts of the world. It is also an attempt towards management of the Hake's fishery in Egypt.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples of M. m. mediterraneus were collected from the fishmarket during 1992. The samples were treated likewise other ones of the same species collected on several back occasions during 1961 and 1972 for the sake of comparison. Back calculation of the age was estimated via otolith reading. The otolith was broken from a transverse crosssection through the center of the nucleus. The otolith's broken surface was burnt in a crucible until it reached a light-brown color. The prepared section was mounted in plasticine, immersed in xylol, illuminated from above and viewed under a stereo-microscope at X10.

Growth in length was determined from the otolith using lee's formula (1920):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathbf{n}}=L_{t}\left(o_{\mathbf{n}} / O_{t}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$L_{t}$ is the total fish length at capture;
$L_{n}$ is the length at $n$ years;
$O_{t}$ is the total scale radius;
$O_{n}$ is the radius at $n$ years

The length and weight data of each sampling year-namely 1961, 1972 and 1992-was worked on separately. The student T-test was applied to compare the growth of both sexes within each of the mentioned sampling year.

The parameters of Von Bertalanffy's Growth Formula (VBGF) were obtained by fitting the length at age data into Ford-Walford plot method to estimate Loo and K according to Pauly, (1980).

Total Fishing Mortality (z) was estimated by three methods:-

1) Catch curve method, by applying the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log N=a+b t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $N$ ) represents the numbers of fishes at different age group ( $t$ ). The regresision analysis was carried out between log (N) against ( $t$ ), then the resultant (b) which is the equation constant that represents the slope of the curve equals (Z), Ricker, (1975).
-2) Beverton and Holt method, in which:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{K}\left(L_{00}-\mathbf{L}\right) /\left(\mathbf{L}-L_{*}^{*}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ and Loo are the growth constants, (L) is the mean length of the catch and ( $L^{*}$ ) is the smallest fish length that was Fully represented in the catch (Beverton and Holt, 1957).

## 3) ELEFAN program: (Electronic Length Frequency ANalysis;.

It is a program designed to estimate mortality and related parameters from length frequency data. In which are given a representative set of length frequency samples and a set of "seed" growth parameters (Loo and $K$ of Von Bertalanffy Growth Formula) to estimate the total Mortality (Z) according to Pauly et al., (1983). This program will also be used for optimizing VBGF's parameter-combinations obtained by applying the length frequency data.

The natural mortality coefficient (M) was estimated according to the equation suggested by Pauly (1983);
$\log M=0.0066-0.279 \log L o o+0.6543 \log K+0.4634 \log T$ where Loo and $K$ are the growth parameters, while $T$ is the annual mean temperature (in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the water inhabited by that population.

The fishing mortality (F) is calculated by simple subtraction of the value of $M$ from the value of $Z$, since:-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{F}+\mathbf{M} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Exploitation ratio (E) is estimated by the following equation according to (Gulland, 1971) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=F /(F+M) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Von Bertalanffy Growth Formula is represented as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L t=1,00\left(1-e^{-k(t-t 0)}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
Lt $m$ length at age $t$; Loo a asymptotic length of fish (ie. its maximum length),
e - base of the natural' logarithm,
$k=$ growth coefficient,
$t=a g e$ of the rish in years,
and $t_{0}-$ a parametar indicáting the nypothetical time at which the fish would have been of zero size.

The theoretical weight growth equation of von Bertalanffy was fitted to the welght at age data computed from the back calculated length as follows:-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega t=W c o\left(1-e^{-k(t-t o)}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Statistical analysis of the data was carried out according to the standard methods clescribed by Snedecor (1956). Computation of the biological statistics of fish populations was done according to the standard methods described by Ricker (1975). The mortality estimates is based on the length-irequency data as given by pauly (1983).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Length-Weight Relationship:

The study of length-weight relationship in fishes has been usually directed towards two objectives:-
(1) Either providing a mathematical relation between the two measurements as that one may be converted to tha other.
(2) To calculate the general well-being of the fish or its condition factor), Le Cren (1951).

The length-weight relationship is well expressed as a power equation in the form of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{a} \mathbf{L}^{b} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $W$ is the fish weight, $L$ is body length and $a, b$ are constants. This relationship is affected by various factors such as the availability of food, rate of feeding, development of gonads, spawning...etc. So, for a length-weight equation to be most useful, it should include fishes of both sexes, sampled at various times of the year.

Based on the results that there is no statistical difference between the mean weight-at-length of both males and femalesf the data was combined for each sampling occasion to calculate their relevant relationship. The results are as follows:

| Sampling Year | $\underset{a}{\text { Regression }} \underset{b}{\text { Parameters }}$ |  |  | Cond. Factor (Std. Dev.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19 \overline{1} \overline{1}$ | 0.007 | 3.01 | 0.985 | $\begin{gathered} 0.730 \\ (0.100) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1972 | 0.007 | 3.00 | 0.986 | $\begin{gathered} 0.709 \\ (0.066) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1992 | 0.005 | 3.109 | 0.989 | $\begin{gathered} 0.772 \\ (0.078) \end{gathered}$ |

Based on the above mentioned results; statistical analysis showed that there is no significant statistical difference between the mean weights of the samples covering the length range 15 - 50 cm for the three sampling occasions 1961, 1972 and 1992 even at probability of less than $0.001 \%$. On the contrary; the mean condition factor of the 1972 sample proved to be statistically lower than either of 1961 or 1992.

This finding proves that the adverse environmental conditions occurred in the Mediterranean waters of Egypt following daming the Nile from flooding into the Mediterranean; adversely affected the well-being of the fish through affecting its food supply. Then, during the present time the fish reached back to its normal condition. The present length-weight relationship of this species is shown in Figure (i) and is represented by equation (10):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=.005 \mathrm{~L}^{3.109} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Growth lates:
The calculated total length at the end of different years of life was obtained from the otolith readings. The values obtained were applied to Ford-Walford plot method to calculate the VBGF parameters. The parameters obtained were plugged into the ELEFAN program to optimize their combination. The results are as follows and are presented in Figure (2).
$L_{00}=61.0 \mathrm{~cm} \quad K=0.18 \quad$ and $\quad$ to $=-0.1$ year
Using the above mentioned parameters, the growth rates relevant to length and weight at different age groups are shown in Table (1). The table shows that the absolute increase in length reaches its highest rate at the first year of life then decreases gradually. The absolute increase in weight shows that the growth in weight during the first to second year is almost $1 / 2$ that of the 2nd to the 3 rd year, while $1 / 3$ that of the 3 ra to the 4 th year. Then growth is almost the same for the years after the 4 th. The different types of growth rates are also presented in the

 Length-Weight relationship of Merluccius merluccius moditerrmena in the Egyptian Mediterranean waters

Table 1.

Growth rates relevant to length \& Weight at different Age Groups of: Merluccius merluccius mediterraneus $L$.

$$
K=0.18 \quad L_{00}=61 \mathrm{~cm} \quad t^{0}=-0.1 \text { years }
$$

| Age | Intervals of: |  | Absol Increase |  | Rate of Increase |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length (L) | Weight (H) | in: |  | Relative |  |  | Instentan |  |
| (Year) | (cm) | (g) | (L) | (H) | (L) | (U) |  | (L) | (W) |
| 1-2 | 10.4-18.3 | 7-42 | 7.9 | 35 | 76.0 \% | 500 x |  | 0.57 | 1.79 |
| 2-3 | 18.3-25.0 | 42-111 | 6.7 | 69 | 36.6 \% | 164 x |  | 0.31 | 0.97 |
| 3-4 | 25.0-30.6 | 111-208 | 5.6 | 97 | 22.4 \% | 87 x |  | 0.20 | 0.62 |
| 4-5 | 30.6-35.4 | 208-327 | 4.8 | 119 | 15.7 \% | 57 \% | \% | 0.15 | 0.45 |
| 5-6 | 35.4-39.4 | 327-456 | 4.0 | 129 | $11.3 \%$ | 39 \% | \% | 0.11 | 0.33 |
| 6-7 | 39.4-42.8 | 456-590 | 3.4 | 134 | 8.6 \% | $29 \times$ | x | 0.08 | 0.26 |
| 7-8 | 42.8-45.6 | 590-719 | 2.8 | 129 | $6.5 \%$ | 22 \% | \% | 0.06 | 0.20 |
| 8-9 | 45.6-48.0 | 719-843 | 2.4 | 124 | 5.3 \% | 17 \% | $\chi$ | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| 9-10 | $48.0-50.0$ | 843-957 | 2.0 | 114 | 4.2 \% | $14 \times$ | \% | 0.04 | 0.13 |

Absolute Rate of Increase $=X_{2}-X_{1}$
Relative Rate of Increase $=100\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) / x_{1}$

Instantaneous Rate of Increase $=\operatorname{Ln}\left(X_{2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Ln}\left(X_{1}\right)$
Meight is calculated using formula No. (10).
table. The relative contribution of each age group -both in number and in weight- is presented in Table (2). In terms of numbers, the age groups $2-4$ contribute about 73 of the population. It was expected that age group $1-2$ contributes the most and not only 10 \%. This could be explained on the bises that this group is not vulnerable to fishing by the commercial fishing net. The contribution in numbers of this group is almost 7 times its contribution in weight. The contribution of group $3-4$ is almost equal for both number and weight. As the fish increases in age; then its age-group contribution to weight exceeds that to number.

Relative contribution of the different Age Groups of Merluccius merluccius_mediterraneus L. in the form of Numbers and /or Weigh: (Assuming a population of 100 individuals).

| Age (Year) | Length Intervals (cm) | Mid Length (cm) | Mid Veight (gm) | Mumbers Frequ <br> (X) | Total Meight (gm) | Weight $X$ Relative Contribut. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-2 | 10.4-18.3 | 14.4 | 20 | 10.4 | 208 | 1.5 |
| 2-3 | 18.3-25.0 | 21.7 | 71 | 47.6 | 3379 | 24.6 |
| 3-4 | 25.0 - 30.6 | 27.8 | 154 | 25.0 | 3850 | 27.9 |
| 4-5 | 30.6-35.4 | 33.0 | 263 | 9.8 | 2577 | 18.7 |
| 5-6 | 35.4-39.4 | 37.4 | 388 | 4.3 | 1668 | 12.1 |
| 6-7 | 39.4-42.8 | 41.1 | 520 | 1.2 | 624 | 4.5 |
| 7-8 | 42.8-45.6 | 44.2 | 653 | 0.6 | 391 | 2.8 |
| 8-9 | 45.6-48.0 | 46.8 | 779 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 9-10 | 48.0-50.0 | 49.0 | 899 | 1.2 | 1078 | 7.8 |
| Total |  |  |  | 100.1 | 13775 | 99.9 |

For comparison of the growth rates in different localities; the parameters of VBGF were used in this regard are those presented in Table (3). These parameters were used to compute the length-at age values covering the age group 1 - 10 years; the corresponding results are presented in Table (4). Comparing the means of length-at-age of this fish species in the different locality revealed that; that of the present study are smaller than those of Aldebert, 1981 and Alegria and Jukic, 1990 due to a calculated ( $t$ ) of 10.091 and 6.797 respectively. Meanwhile, they are significantly larger than those of Wurtz and Matricardi, 1986 and Oliver et al 1990 all due to a calculated ( $t$ ) of 2.851 and 4.428 respectively compared to a tabulated ( $t$ ) of 2.26 at a $p$. of .05 and 9 df.

Table 3

Von Bertalanffy Growth formula (VBGF) parameters for Merluccius merluccius mediterraneus 1 . in different localities.

| Author | Local ity | $L_{\infty}$ | K | $t_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aldebert, Y.. 1981 | Gulf of Lion | 62.5 | 0.166 | -0.1669 |
| Wurtz M. \& Matricardi, 1986 | Ligurian Sea | 49.9 | 0.2095 | -0.373 |
| Oliver et al, 1990 | Adriatic | 78.4 | 0.1 | -0.7 |
| Alegria, V. \& Jukie, 1990 | Batearic Istand | 92.83 | 0.097 | -0.692 |
| Present Study | Egypt. Mediterr. | 61.0 | 0.18 | -0.1 |

Table 4.

Comparison between Length-at-Age of Merluccius merluccius mediterraneus !. for various authors in different localities.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AcE } \\ & \text { Yeare } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aldebert } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Murtz } \& \mathrm{M} . \\ 1986 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oliver } \\ 1990 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alegria } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | PRESEMT STUDY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11.0 | 12.2 | 11.7 | 14.1 | 10.4 |
| 2 | 18.9 | 19.2 | 17.6 | 21.3 | 18.3 |
| 3 | 25.6 | 24.8 | 23.0 | 27.9 | 25.0 |
| 4 | 31.2 | 29.4 | 27.9 | 33.9 | 30.6 |
| 5 | 36.0 | 33.1 | 32.3 | 39.4 | 35.4 |
| 6 | 40.0 | 36.1 | 36.3 | 44.3 | 39.4 |
| 7 | 43.5 | 38.5 | 40.0 | 48.8 | 42.8 |
| 8 | 46.9 | 40.5 | 43.2 | 52.9 | 45.6 |
| 9 | 48.9 | 42.1 | 46.2 | 56.6 | 48.0 |
| 10 | 50.9 | 43.4 | 48.9 | 59.9 | 50.0 |
| MEAN | 35.29 | 31.93 | 32.71 | 39.91 | 34.55 |
| ST. $\mathbf{D}$. | 13.43 | 10.43 | 12.51 | 15.41 | 13.28 |

The ELEFAN program was applied only on the 1997 sample-group to estimate the total Mortality Rate (?) from both the catch curve and the mean length methods The plot of these sample groups is presented in Figure (3). In the mean time, the value of ( $Z$ ) obtained by the Beverton and Holt method was 1.16 (covering a length range of $18-61 \mathrm{~cm}$ with a mean length of 23.8 cm ). This value coincides well with that obtained from the ELEFAN program that will be solely considered here in estimating the value of ( $Z$ )

Estimating (Z):
From Catch curve ( $Z$ ) $=1.48$ and From Mean length $=1.22$
Hence; the Average of $(Z)=(1.48+1.22), 2=1.35$

Natural Mortality (N):
As estimated. for $(T)=25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ hence; $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}=0.45$

Fishing Mortality (F):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{M}=1.35-0.45=0.9 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3
ELEFAN Program printout of estimating total mortality (2) of M. 日. mediterraneus in the Egyptian Mediterranean waters during 1992, using the catch curve method.

Exploitalıo: Rátio (E):

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=F / Z=(0.90) /(1.35)=0.67 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vaiue of (E) cbtained represents a strong alarm that this species is suffering from an over-fishing problem, and correcting measures shomid be considered.

Assuming that the stock of this species is at equilibrium due to the fract that the production of this fish has teen at almost steady level; the Equilibrium Yield from 1000 kg of recruits of Merluccius merluccius mediterraneus $I$. at ago 2 years was 1535 kg . The calculated values presented in Table (5) are based on the following values:-

Table 5.

$K=0.18, \quad$ Loo $=61 \mathrm{~cm}, \quad$ to -0.1 year,
Total Mortality Rate $Z=1.35$,
Nstural Mortality Rate $M=0.45$,
fishing Mortality Rate $F=0.90$
and Exploitation Ratio $E=0.67$

## CONCLUSION

The pover equations representing length-weight relationship of Merluccius merluccius mediterraneus in the Figyptian Mediterranean waters are not significantly different among samples collected during the years 1961, $19 \%$ and 1992. The constants of the 1992 length-weight relationship are:-
$(a=0.005$ and $b=3.109)$.
The samples of the 1972 showed a lower condition factor than any of the other sampling years. This difference is attributed to the adverse environnental conditions exerted on the food supply of the fish as a result of preventing the Nile water from flooding into the area after building the Aswan Dam.

The parameters of the VBGF that were obtained by the otolith reading and optimized by the ELEFAN program proved that the fishery of this species in the Mediterranean waters of Egypt is depending mainly on the age classes 2 - 4. Since the optimum exploitation ratio for a healthy fishery is about 0.5 , while it reached a value of 0.67 at present. This is a clear indication that heavy fishing activity on this species is taking place and correcting measures should be considered.
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