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## ABSTRACT

The aim of work is the determination of pollution effect on length-weight relationship and condition factor of two dominant tilapia species (Q. niloticus and Q. aureus) in Lake Mariut.

The results indicated that, there are highly significant variations in length-weight relationship and condition factor of both mentioned species in polluted and non-polluted parts of the lake. This study indicates that the environmental conditions in the Southeast basin are more suitable for growth of both species than that in Lake proper.

## INTRODUCTION

The tilapia fishes are of considerable importance in the fisheries of Lake Mariut, they represent about $90 \%$ of the total catch, the dominant tilapia species in the lake are Oreochromis niloticus and Oreochromis aureus (El Shazly, 1993). Lake Mariut is situated south of Alexandria at latitude $31^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and longitude $29^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$. It has a total area of about 15000 feddans, which is divided by the Desert Road and the Umum drain into four basins, the Lake proper, the fish farm, Southeast and Southwest basins. The Lake proper represents the main basin in the lake. It receives most of its water from the polluted water of Qallaa Drain through Moharram Bey Bridge. Other sources of pollution include industrial water effluents discharged at the north eastern corner, Gheit El-Enab Drain receiving sewage from Karmous and El-Kabbary out-fall that discharges raw sewage at the north west side. The Southeast basin is totally
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separated from the lake by a dike bordering the Umum Drain. It was nearly free from pollution. (Abdel-Moneim et al. 1987, Saad, 1987 and Guerguess, 1988). Numerous studies have been carried out on Lake Mariut, of which some deal with pollution tspects: Anonymous (1978); El-Sharkawy (1978); Wahby et al. (1978), Halim (1984) and Ghazaly (1992).

However, this paper presents a comparative information on length-weight relationship and condition factor of $\underline{Q}$. niloticus and $\underline{Q}$. aureus in polluted (Lake proper) and non-polluted (Southeast basin) parts of Lake Mariut, which may be helpful in understanding the pollution effect on fish growth.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tilapia fishes used in this study were collected from lake proper and Southeast basin of Lake Mariut during the period from January to December 1993. A total number of 517 specimens were examined ( 283 of $\underline{O}$. niloticus, 234 of $\underline{O}$. aureus) ranging in size from 9 to 20 cm . T.L. for $\underline{Q}$. niloticus and from 9 to 16 cm . for $\underline{Q}$. aurea the length-weight relationship is usually expressed by the equation: $W=a L^{b}$ (Beckman, I948 and Le Cren, 1951) where $\mathrm{W}=$ weight in grams, $\mathrm{L}=$ total length in mm . and $\mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ are constants, the coefficients $\mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{~b}$ are calculated after linearization by taking logarithms of both sides of the equation. In the present study, this relationship was computed from the combined data for all fish regardless of capture time, sex and state of gonad maturity. However, in this paper, the gutted weight is used in order to exclude the effect of stomach contents and weight of gonads (Lagler, 1956 and Ricker, 1975).

The coefficient of condition (k) is based on the cube law $K=W / L^{3}$ (i.e. Fulton condition factor) where $W=$ gutted weight in grams, $L=$ total length in millimeters. This factor is often used as an approximation even when the allometric factor is theoretically more appropriate (Bagenal \& Braum, 1971 and Ricker, 1975).

## RESULTS

## 1- Length-weight relationship:

The agreement between the observed and calculated weights of both species as well as in non-polluted was fairly good (Figs. 1, 2).

The equation of length-weight relationship for mentioned species in southeast basin and lake proper are the following:

## For O. niloticus

Southeast basin : $\log w=-1.7106+2.9322 \log L(r=0.99876)$
Lake proper $: \log w=-1.9611+3.1376 \log L(r=0.99742)$

## For O. aureus

Southeast basin : $\log w=-1.4289+2.6258 \log L(r=0.99036)$
Lake proper $: \log w=-1.7419+2.8514 \log L(r=0.99738)$
The equations of length-weight relationship of the two species which are based on available data collected from two mentioned parts of the lake showed a $\log -\log$ linear fit with regression coefficient (b) differing from polluted and non-polluted parts for both species (Table 1,2).

To test if the regressions are significantly different for the two species in polluted and non-polluted parts, analysis of covariance was employed (Table 3). For $\mathbf{Q}$. niloticus, covariance analysis shows that there are highly significant differences between Southeast basin fish and lake proper fish.

Likewise, for $\underline{Q}$. aureus, analysis of covariance shows a significant difference at $1 \%$ level between regressions of polluted basin fish and those of the non-polluted basin.

## 2-Condition factor (K):

The mean values of " $k$ " for both fish species of the same length range from Southeast basin and lake proper are shown in (Table 1,2). The difference in condition factor of two species is statistically tested between Southeast basin fish and lake proper fish by using $t$-test (Table 4 ).

Condition factor for $\underline{Q}$. niloticus specimens shows that there are highly significant difference between Southeast basin and lake proper i.e., fishes captured from Southeast basin are heavier than those of lake proper. Also in $\underline{Q}$ aureus specimens, the mean value of " K " is higher in Southeast basin than in lake proper and this variation is statistically highly significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ).


Fig. (1): Length.weighl relalionship of Oreochromis niloticus in Southeasl basin and Lake froper


Fig. (2)-Lengith-weight relationship of Oreochromis aureus in Southeast basin and Lake Proper
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Table (1) Mean observed, Calculated weight and condition Factor of Oreochromis niloticus

| Range of <br> total length (mm) | Southeast basin |  |  |  | Lake proper |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean observed weight (gm) | Calculated weight (gn) | Condition Factor (K) | No. of Fish | Mean observed weight (gm) | Calculated weight (gn) | Condition Factor (K) | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { Fish } \end{gathered}$ |
| 85-94 | 12.60 | 12.20 | 1.728 | 5 | 11.25 | 10.79 | 1.543 | 4 |
| 95-104 | 16.91 | 16.63 | 1.691 | 23 | 13.00 | 15.01 | 1.300 | 7 |
| 105-114 | 22.14 | 22.02 | 1.663 | 21 | 20.65 | 20.25 | 1.552 | 34 |
| 115-124 | 27.74 | 28.44 | 1.605 | 27 | 26.81 | 26.60 | 1.552 | 26 |
| 125-134 | 35.87 | 36.00 | 1.633 | 23 | 34.23 | 34.20 | 1.558 | 30 |
| 135-144 | 44.52 | 44.78 | 1.623 | 21 | 43.64 | 43.15 | 1.590 | 11 |
| 145-154 | 52.00 | 54.86 | 1.541 | 11 | 54.56 | 53.58 | 1.617 | 9 |
| 155-164 | 70.17 | 66.34 | 1.713 | 6 | 64.57 | 65.61 | 1.576 | 7 |
| 165-174 | 83.50 | 79.30 | 1.700 | 4 | 77.50 | 79.35 | 1.578 | 2 |
| 175-184 | 96.67 | 93.83 | 1.658 | 3 | 93.00 | 94.94 | 1.595 | 2 |
| 185-194 | 117.00 | 110.02 | 1.706 | 2 | 108.00 | 112.49 | 1.575 |  |
| 195-204 | 133.67 | 127.95 | 1.671 | 1 | 128.00 | 132.13 | 1.600 | 2 |


| ［ | $57 \% 1$ | \＄1．6\％ | 0015 | $Z$ | ESt＇1 | $10 \% 5$ | 05\％6 | 791－SSI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\varepsilon$ | 9511 | 8806 | 00.68 | 1 | \＆દ๕ I | 49.5 | $00^{\circ} 57$ | bsi－sti |
| 7 | $781{ }^{\circ}$ | $85^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ \％ | OS＇2E | 9 | IES I | 8088 | 00.27 | bVI－SEI |
| LI | $80 \%$ I | 81 2 亿 | ES＇92 | $\checkmark 1$ | 95E1 | ヤE＇โE | 60.62 | ヤEI－SZI |
| 12 | 8671 | b912 | をもで | IE | とで1 | 0\％「て | 85\％て | ヤてI－SII |
| $0 \varepsilon$ | L87］ | 8891 | El＇LI | 85 | 9ESI | 1で0て | 5602 | －II－SOI |
| 02 | 0971 | 28.21 | $09^{\circ} \mathrm{l}$ | $L 1$ | －291 | VLS！ | ちで91 | 701－56 |
| 9 | 082］ | ES 6 | EE＇6 | $\varepsilon$ | 6051 | E611 | 0011 | 76－58 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { YS! 3 } \\ 10 \cdot 0 \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ | （X） <br> LOLTES иом̣риол |  | （นึ） 14 TOM poniosqo UEDN | पsti <br> $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ON}$ | （x） <br> JOLDE 1 <br> voبpuoj | （4） <br> 14 равापरुण | （U10） <br> 14゙ロハ panjasqo UEDW | Elol j0 วิ์ |
| 12010 2xé |  |  |  | ursea iseruinos |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table (3) Test of significance of length -weight relationship of genus Oreochromis spp. at Lake proper and Southeast basin of Lake Mariut.

** Significant at $1 \%$ level.

Table (4) Test of significance of condition factor of Oreochromis spp.
in lake proper and southeast basin of Lake Mariut.
Species Southeast basin

| O. niloticus | $1.541-1.728$ | $1.643 \pm 0.0463$ | $1.300-1.617$ | $1.551+0.0617$ | $14.2820 * *$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O. aureus | $1.333-1.624$ | $1.498+0.0805$ | $1.156-1.298$ | $1.262 \pm 0.0393$ | $27.2004 \cdots$ |

** Significant at $1 \%$ level.
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## DISCUSSION

During growth, the weight of fish increases as a function of its length (Hile, 1948 and Le Cren, 1951). On the other hand, the environmental parameters are very effective on the fish growth (Brown, 1957 and Sinha 1975). In addition to Lagler et al.
(1977) mentioned that length-weight relationship leads itself to comparison of individuals within and between different populations. In the present investigation it was found that there are highly significant differences between regressions of both mentioned species in the Southeast basin and lake proper, the fishes captured from Southeast basin were heavier than those of lake proper.

Condition factor gives an indication of the degree of the well-being of fish. It is used to indicate the suitability of an environment for a certain fish species by comparison with another environment (Ricker, 1971). In this study, the mean value of condition factor for both species are higher in Southeast basin than in lake proper. This difference was significant ( $\mathbf{p}<0.01$ ) as shown by $t$-test which indicates the suitability of environmental conditions in Southeast basin to tilapia fishes.

From all aspects, it is clear that the environmental conditions in Southeast basin are more suitable for growth of $\underline{Q}$. niloticus and $\underline{Q}$. aureus than those in lake proper basin.
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